

Weather Forecast

Cloudy, cool, occasional rain today and tonight. Tomorrow partly cloudy, little change in temperature.

Temperatures today—High, 65, at 1:40 a.m.; low, 63, at 12:30 a.m.; 61 at 1:30 p.m. Yesterday—High, 83, at 3:42 p.m.; low, 63, at 9 p.m. Full Report on Page A-19.

Closing N. Y. Markets—Sales, Page A-19.

94th YEAR. No. 37,278.

Phone NA. 5000.

WASHINGTON, D. C., TUESDAY, MAY 28, 1946—FORTY PAGES. ★★ ★

Guide for Readers

Page.	Page.
After Dark.....A-14	Lost and Found.....A-3
Amusements.....B-10-11	Obituary.....A-12
Comics.....B-18-19	Radio.....B-19
Editorials.....A-10	Society.....B-3
Editorial Articles.....A-11	Sports.....A-16-17
Finance.....A-19	Woman's Page.....B-8

An Associated Press Newspaper

City Home Delivery, Daily and Sunday
Five Cents a Month. When 5 Cents, \$1.00

Lewis and Krug to Work Today On Final Details of Coal Pact; Unions May Forsake Truman

UMW and Federal Attorneys Putting Terms in Writing

By James Y. Newton

Hopes for an end of the Nation-wide soft coal strike ran high today as John L. Lewis and Secretary of the Interior Krug, Government mines boss, scheduled a conference to work over final details of an agreement for Federal operation of the mines.

The meeting first was called for 11 a.m., but later was postponed until 2:15 p.m.

Senator Hill, Democrat, of Alabama, deputy administration leader, predicted an end of the strike "within the next 48 hours." He said he had talked with both sides and that he understood all "are in agreement on principles for settlement."

Early Announcement Seen

"I feel confident that unless something unforeseen occurs," the Senator continued, "the coal strike will be settled and announcement of settlement made within the next 48 hours."

Both sides indicated a break was near in the controversy which has plagued the country for two months and several times threatened to bring industry generally to a halt. Officials of the union seemed especially optimistic and pleased with the progress.

However, the mines were almost completely closed down as the latest general strike entered its second day. The Government was prepared for drastic action should some last-minute hitch develop in the negotiations. The mine workers generally ignored pleas to work for the Government.

Being Put Into Writing

While terms of any agreement were not revealed it was believed Mr. Krug and Mr. Lewis had reached near-agreement on the "principles" of a contract. Both union and Federal attorneys were called into negotiations, indicating that the terms were being put in writing.

Mr. Krug told newsmen yesterday that "some progress" was being made, the first encouragement he had given since the mines were seized last Wednesday. An interior spokesman added that the attorneys would be engaged until far in the night. He did not elaborate but was obviously optimistic.

Will Report to Operators

Announcement of any agreement Mr. Krug may complete today with the mine workers may be delayed somewhat by his promise to report to the mine operators the details of a plan for Government operation. Even after a Government contract has been drafted and the mines reopened, there still might be a long battle before a permanent agreement is signed and the mines returned to private ownership. Some operators have objected strenuously to contract "principles" described to them privately by Mr. Krug, but it is believed most of them will go along with the final draft.

It was indicated that Mr. Lewis will win an 18½-cent-an-hour increase for the miners, in accord with the new Government operation. All of this would raise the base pay of miners paid by the hour to \$1.18½. The maximum take-home pay of which day men would be reduced somewhat from the present \$63.50 for the 34-hour week to about \$59.25. This drop would be offset somewhat by raising annual vacation allowances from \$75 to \$100.

Double Fund Reported

It was believed that a double health and welfare fund had been agreed to. The miners who now have payments for doctors and hospitalization deducted from their bi-weekly pay envelopes would continue to make the payments except they would go to the union instead of the operators.

Then, another fund, jointly operated, would be set up by a 5-cent-per-ton levy on coal which would be used to alleviate hardships and supplement compensation payments among the injured, diseased and old miners. This would mean that Mr. Lewis had abandoned his demand for a union-administered fund supplement.

(See COAL, Page A-6.)

(See COAL, Page A-6.)

(See COAL, Page A-6.)

(See COAL, Page A-6.)

(See COAL, Page A-6.)

(See COAL, Page A-6.)

(See COAL, Page A-6.)

Truman Prepared Alternate 'Leads' For Congress Talk

Switched Paragraphs To Fit Developments In Railroad Strike

President Truman went to the Capitol Saturday afternoon with two "leads" for his speech—one to be delivered in the event that the railroad strike settlement was effected prior to his appearance at the joint session of Congress and the other if negotiations were still hanging fire. Press Secretary Charles G. Ross said today.

It was the second "lead" (introductory paragraphs) which the President used. Mr. Truman interrupted his speech midway in its delivery to announce that he had just received word the strike was over.

Mr. Ross was asked if the President's legislative recommendations would have been changed had the strike been settled in advance of the speech. He said he was "not prepared to say." The Secretary added, however, that the President "would have made proposals of course."

Mr. Ross' statement was in effect a reply to the charge yesterday of Senator Morse, Republican, of Oregon, that President Truman knew the strike had been settled and was putting on a "ham" act in going to the Capitol.

Mr. Ross, however, refused to comment on the Morse assertion.

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

(See STRIKE CONTROL, Page A-6.)

GOP Making Most Of Situation Caused By Strike Handling

By the Associated Press

The big unions, boiling with political wrath for President Truman, dropped some oblique hints today that they might switch to another standard bearer, with Henry A. Wallace and Senator Pepper, Democrat, of Florida heading the list of acceptable.

Capitol Hill politicians agreed the Secretary of Commerce and Senator Pepper are the likeliest beneficiaries in any such shift of allegiance, but they wanted more concrete evidence that one might be in the making.

The general opinion appeared to be that it is too early to tell whether the administration's handling of the strike emergency has ended the 13-year-old labor-Democratic coalition.

While political speculation mounted, three of the Nation's most powerful labor organizations kept up a heavy drumfire of criticism of the program Mr. Truman proposed Saturday for coping with strikes in vital industries during the reconversion period.

CIO President Philip Murray was among the latest to blast at Mr. Truman's proposals.

In a telegram to all Senators, Mr. Murray contended that "in a moment of wild hysteria an attempt is being made to stampede through Congress legislation which would result in the destruction of the labor movement of this Nation."

Measure Denounced.

He lashed out not only at Mr. Truman's emergency strike-control program, but also at the Senate version of the House-approved Case bill.

Mr. Murray's broadside was considered as rough treatment as the CIO chief ever gave any administration-sponsored proposal, but AFL President William Green was equally vehement, denouncing the bill as "advocating 'slave labor under Fascism.'"

"Since V-J day powerful segments of American industry have been actively and deliberately seeking to provoke a crisis, to engineer a pretext for stampeding Congress into passage of anti-labor legislation," Mr. Murray said.

"It was not a labor organization, but the powerful General Motors Corp., which rejected an award of the President's fact-finding board. It was not a labor organization, but the steel industry which refused to accept a decision of the President of the United States to settle the labor controversy in that industry. It was not a labor organization, but the powerful Westinghouse Corp., whose engineers caused two United States contractors to withdraw in disgust. It was not a labor organization, but the powerful oil industry which undermined and sabotaged all efforts to obtain a peaceful settlement of a (See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)"

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

(See LABOR POLITICS, Page A-6.)

Pickets Tie Up Rochester After Ignoring Accord

Public Transportation Halted; 26,650 Idle In Demonstration

By the Associated Press

ROCHESTER, N. Y., May 28.—Mass picketing by organized labor in retaliation against the city government for refusing to recognize a union made idle an estimated 26,650 workers today, paralyzing public transportation and crippling several industries.

The picketing was ordered in repudiation of an announced settlement of differences between the city and the union, agreed to by City Manager Louis B. Cartwright and the State Federation of Labor, Gov. Thomas E. Dewey aided negotiations.

The mass "demonstration" directed by a joint AFL-CIO strategy committee, began at 5 a.m. when members of a bus drivers' union were pulled off the job.

This left thousands of persons stranded on the streets waiting for transportation. Many walked as much as 3 miles to work.

Thousands Leave Jobs.

Later in the morning, a union spokesman announced that 13,500 CIO-Amalgamated Clothing Workers had left their jobs, as had thousands of other persons employed by industries, hotels, theaters, newspapers and trucking industries.

Anthony A. Capone, president of the Rochester Central Trades and Labor Council, said the "demonstration" was spreading and that the full effect would be felt tomorrow. He said it was a "sympathy demonstration and not a general strike."

The dispute began May 15 when the city administration abolished more than 400 jobs in the Department of Public Works as its answer to efforts by an AFL union to organize employees of the department and obtain union recognition.

The jobs were reinstated May 20, but the controversy continued.

Early today the State Federation of Labor at Albany and City Manager Cartwright, who had been settling the dispute had been settled and that the affected employees would return to work with the right to unionize conceded, provided the union did not favor a strike against the public.

Settlement Repudiated.

The Joint Strategy Committee, in a statement, praised Harold C. Hanover, secretary-treasurer of the State Federation, for his "unselfish" service as an intermediary, but asserted he had "failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the city administration will recognize and abide by article 1, section 17, of the New York State Constitution, which states: 'unselfish' service as an intermediary, but asserted he had 'failed to achieve the basic objective of the union, which is whether the